

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,300	10/14/2003	Karl Guenther	32022	9836
7	7590 08/30/2004	EXAMINER		
Carl M. Napolitano, Ph.D.			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J	
Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath & Gilchrist, P.A. Suite 1401 255 South Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/685,300	GUENTHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHy statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı .				
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matter	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-28,34-41,46-56,58 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>18,29-33,42-45 and 57</u> is/are o 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. 1 59 is/are rejected. bjected to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apple priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
 2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Fatern Brawning Neview (F10-9) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/04. 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,300

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-17, 19-23, 25-28 and 46-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rypinski (US 3,861,315).

Rypinski discloses a transportation system comprised of a track with a running surface 4 suspended above ground level by columns 2 and constructed of I beams to form an inverted U shaped structure. The beams have an outer flanges and lower flanges. This structure is shown in what the Examiner has interpreted to be figure 7, on sheet 5 of 14 with figure 7A and 7b. The system is further comprised of a carrying vehicle, or truck 62, 63, 64, 65, operates along the running surface 4 and suspends a car body 6, 7 below. The car body 6, 7 is suspended from suspension members 33 that are pivotally connected to a chassis by swivel hangers 74 above the car body and allowing the body to rotate along a longitudinal axis. As shown in figure 2 the car bodies include both passenger cars and freight cars. The truck is further comprised of wheels 37 for movement along the running surface 4, an electric motor 29, and a chassis 30, which has the suspension members, mounted to it and suspends the car body 6, 7. The Examiner has interpreted the points on the chassis where the suspension members are mounted are grapples hanging from the underside of the chassis. The track has a series of straight and curved portions. A curved portion is shown in figure 1. The track also has sections that are superelevated. The Examiner has interpreted the term superelevated

Application/Control Number: 10/685,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

to mean that the track rises to a higher point than normal. This feature is shown in figures 32 and 33 where the track is shown to have an inclining section and a declining section with a travel section there between. The Examiner has interpreted this center section to be the superelevated section. Figure 33 shows the section to incline by at least eight degrees. Figure 33 also shows at least two chassis 149 150 attached to on truck 151 for articulated movement. Figures 13, 14 and 15 also show the chassis and trucks to be connected for articulated movement along the track. The suspension members are further comprised of a first pair of brackets affixed to the car body, shown at the top of car 35 in figure 7. As second pair of brackets are affixed to the top of a first support 34. The suspension members 33 are affixed to the second pair of brackets and extend upward to the rotating connection points 74 where they are then connected to the overhead truck.

Regarding claims 46-53, 55-57 and 59 drawn to a method of operating. Rypinski also discloses a method like that recited in the claims by suspending a running surface of specific construction as described above, operating a carrying vehicle and removably connecting car bodies to the carrying vehicle y a grappling device. Rypinski also provides a plurality of carrying vehicles connected together. Rypinski also provides superelevated and curved sections of the running surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24, 54, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rypinski (US 3,861,315).

Rypinski disclose the transportation system as disclosed above, however, does not disclose that adjacent car bodies are accessible from one another. It is well known in the art that train car bodies, usually on passenger trains, are accessible to each other by doorways. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to apply the teaching of passenger train cars to the system of Rypinski so that passengers can access a plurality of cars during travel.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 29-33, 42-45, 57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Leibowitz (US 4,841,871), Trenary (US 5,381,737) and Cummins (US 6,622,637) all disclose types of transportation systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,300 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJM August 27, 2004

ROBERT J. McCARRY, JR. PATENT EXAMINER

8/27/04